

SALHOUSE PARISH COUNCIL

At the meeting of the Parish Council held in the Jubilee Hall, Lower St, Salhouse at 7.30pm on 3rd August 2011, the following members were present:-

Mr. R. Cooper – Chairman
Mrs. R. Robbins – Vice Chairman
Mrs. J. Rose. Mr. T. Moralee
Mr. D. Hastings Mr. P. Peck
Mr. N. Taylor Mr. S.Piper
Mr. P.Jefford. Mrs. S. Simpson.

In attendance.

District Councillor – Steve Buckle
Mrs. D.R.Wyatt - Clerk
Mr. Flatters - Tree Warden
Residents – Nine.

Best Practice Policies

Chairman advised everyone in attendance of the location of the fire escapes and the procedure to be followed in the event of an evacuation.

Advised members of the public of the procedure for them speaking at Meetings of the Parish Council a) To speak on one subject only, time limit 3 minutes. Appreciated that it might be difficult for District Councillors, but a written report given to the Clerk would suffice.

Also announced that a sound recording was being made of the Meeting and if anyone wanted their remarks erased to speak now. No replies, so Chairman acknowledged that he would take the silence as a **no**.

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies received and accepted from Mr. C.McCormick..

3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS (Items on the Agenda)

Mr. Cooper declared a personal interest as a member of Salhouse United Charities.

4. CHAIRMANS REPORT

On Monday 1st August the Parish Council held a working party to form a group to start the Forerunner scheme for Salhouse.

The first action of this group will be to arrange the first open day for the village to explain how this will involve everyone and attract some volunteers to become involved in the process. This first open day is scheduled for Saturday 1st October.

5. CORRESPONDENCE

5.1 Norfolk County Council – Norfolk Minerals & Waste LDF

Sent correspondence informing us of a consultation on further Issues & Options and also an addendum.

Councillor N. Taylor reported that there were no variances that affected our area.

5.2 C.P.R.E.- Membership renewal.

a) It was agreed to renew our membership at the new rate of £29.00.

b) Not to take up the option of paying by Direct Debit.

Mrs Robbins stated that when planning applications were received which involved land, C.P.R.E. were always there checking details and supporting the Council if needed.

- 5.3. WILLOWS- Power and Recycling centre.
a) Council agreed that they would have a working party meeting to discuss this and bring to the September P.C. meeting.
- b) As above (a)
- 5.4. HUNSTANTON TOWN COUNCIL
a) Does the Council wish to write a letter.
b) Does the Council wish to join in constructive dialogue to find better solutions.
Letter only to councillors, Extra copy of Newsletter given to Chairman.
It was agreed that a working party would be arranged and results brought to the September meeting.
- 5.5. WILLOWS – Revised letter to E.D.P. 12th July 2011
Letter forwarded to the E.D.P by Chris Edwards Consultant and Senior Fellow U.E.A. with supporting report.
Noted.
- 5.6. BURTON ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING LTD.
Re: remarks in Cllr.Bill Borretts recent letter.
Noted.
Items 5.3, 4,5 and 6 would be discussed at the working party to be arranged..
- 5.7 SALHOUSE BELL BOWLS CLUB
Confirmation of receipt of Parish meeting report. 12.7.11
Correspondence was noted.
- 5.8. SOVEREIGN – Play equipment manufacturers.
Seeking virgin sites for their new products.
Correspondence was noted.
- 5.9. BROADLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
-Year book received for 2011-2012.
- updates for electoral register
-updates for Year book (1)
- updates for Year Book (2)
For the Clerk to deal with.
- 5.10 LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Misc: Provisions) Act 1982
Policing & Crime Act 2009 – Sex Establishments – Definition explained.
All councillors were given a copy for their information.
- 5.11. TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 TREE 1999.
The Clerk reported receipt of Tree Preservation Order No.70, for 74, Lower Street, Salhouse, NR13 6RB.
- 5.12. NORFOLK RCC – Signpost – July Newsletter
- 5.13. BADCOG NEWS -.No. 154 July Issue.
Both items given to Chairman for circulation and return.
- 5.14. ROSPA REPORT RECEIVED
Copy of faults passed to Playing Field Committee to check when SMP were on Site.
Playing Field Chairman had requested a complete copy of report which had been supplied by

the Clerk.

- 5.15. NALC – CAFÉ CLUSTER INVITATION – Tuesday 13th September between 10-12 noon.
Venue Peace of Cake, High Street, Coltishall.
Mrs. Rose and Mrs. Robbins volunteered to attend.
- 5.16. COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL)
Broadland District Council reported that the preparation of this was again delayed and requested that Council be encouraged to prioritise any funding that would be provided by CIL. Prioritising projects would also be discussed at the Working Party.
- 5.17 FUTURE OF STANDARDS-Parish & town Survey.
To be placed on September Agenda.

The following amendments were made to the business in hand as it was felt that immediate action was required.

- Letter had been received by the Clerk from a resident expressing concern over the speed on Norwich Road, by the junction of Thieves Lane. His suggestions put forward were to be forwarded to Mr.M. Prestwood, who is currently involved with our communications with SPEEDWATCH. Chairman agreed to communicate with resident on a one-to-one basis.
- Ms P. Gallanders accepted permission to speak and informed the council of an offer from Norfolk County Council regarding the possibility of having two speed awareness signs at no cost to the village. There are also other devices available to us, namely Speed Awareness Messaging (SAM2) and (SAM) which is a device used as an alternative to the speed gun. Further discussions will take place at the SPEEDWATCH meeting of 18th August. Suggested that the main areas for placement would be a) Bell Lane and b) top of Thieves Lane (Norwich Road) Norwich direction. Ms. Gallanders asked for a letter of support from the Parish Council, which was agreed. Clerk to forward letter to Norfolk County Council.
- At this point Mr. I.Flatters had something to say which was completely swamped by the general talking that had ensued.

6. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

The corrected minutes of 1st June were agreed to be a true report and duly signed by the Chairman. Proposed by Cllr. N. Taylor and seconded by Cllr.J.Rose. All agreed.
Minutes of 5th July were to be corrected with omissions as requested by the Council to be inserted and re-presented at the September meeting.

7. CLERKS REPORT

Clerk reported consent for containers at Playing Field had been received and copies would be forwarded to Salhouse Rovers.

8. FINANCE

8.1. Cheques presented for payment.

PAYMENTS

1446	Playsafety - Playground inspection	79.20
1447	Mrs. Pauline James – Temp Clerk	240.00
1448	Unit Glass – Bus Shelter repair	120.96
1449	Secret Gardens – Church Grass	50.00
1450	Mr. Paul Green – 2 nd qtr:+Bus Shelters etc	537.50
1451	Wroxham P.C. B.T 1/3 rd charges to 11 th July	22.63

1452	Broadland District Council – Bins Rec:Grnd.	294.32
1453	Clerks Salary -August	469.78
1454	Clerks Expenses – Aug: 3rd	91.34
1455	Salhouse 2000 – Village Hall Rent	<u>102.00</u>

Total cheques **£2007.73**

Receipts

Salhouse Rovers	26.09
Interest P.F.a/c 551 09.06.11	14
Interest a/c 667 09.06.11	50
Broadland DC. Recycling Oct:10 – Mar:11	<u>572.85</u>

Total receipts **£ 599.58**

Balances

Community Account – 20.06.11	23,349.14
Reserve Account 667 – 09.06.11	11,738.81
Instant access a/c Playing Field /551 –09.06.11	<u>3208.27</u>

Total **38296.22**

Investment Bond **48342.37**

Charity Funds.

Cheques proposed for payment by Mrs. K. Robbins and Mr. D. Hastings. All agreed.

- 8.2. Balances agreed with Statements.
- 8.3. The Co-operative Bank interest for December 2010 was confirmed as £404.50

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

Planning – Read by Mrs. K. Robbins

There have been no Planning Committee meetings since the last Parish Council Meeting of 5th. July, 2011.

Decisions from Broadland District Council website:

P.A.20110617 – The Mead, 82 Norwich Road, Salhouse
Front Extension & Front Porch.

FULL APPROVAL

P.A. 20110661 – Fairfields, 79 Norwich Road, Salhouse
Erection of Single Storey Garage & Workshop to include
Toilet & loft space.

FULL APPROVAL

P.A.20110688 – Florence House, 126 Lower Street, Salhouse
Alterations & Extensions.

FULL APPROVAL

P.A.20110711 –Recreation Ground, Thieves Lane, Salhouse
Retention of Storage Containers.

FULL APPROVAL

Decisions from Broads Authority:

BA/2011/0042/FUL – Salhouse Broad, Salhouse
New Boardwalk (amended proposal)

APPROVED
Subject to conditions

Enforcement Notices from Broadland District Council:

Information received from P. Whitton, Planning Investigation Officer
Re – Enforcement Notice on 2 Lower Street, Salhouse.
At present tendering Contractors to remove the gates & garage.

No other Enforcement Notices received.

Playing Field. – Read by Mrs. J. Rose.

At our recent Playing Field Committee meeting, we discussed the advice offered by the Locum Clerk, Mrs Pauline James, relating to the basketball hoops we were hoping to purchase. She felt that they were not strong enough with withstand use on the playing field so we decided instead to investigate further in this matter. I hope to be able to report to you at the Parish Council meeting a more suitable alternative.

We also discussed the condition of the toilets at the playing field. We had a site inspection and felt that the toilets are in need of some refurbishment. We intend to get three quotes from local builders for various works and will report back to the Parish Council once we have these to hand.

SMP (the company which took over Record RSS) visited the play area on Monday and Tuesday, 25th and 26th July. They have re-cemented in the swings, which were loose, carried out essential work to the elephant springy and various items to the climbing wall equipment. We are aware that a few matters remain outstanding but have been advised that a site meeting with members of the Playing Field Committee will be arranged to discuss the remaining items.

Footpaths – Read by Mr. N.Taylor.

In the last month I have walked FP7,FP8,FP1, BR3,FP4,FP14 and FP11.

Apart from FP 1 being a bit overgrown in places (as is quite usual for this time of year) no problems found. However, since I originally walked FP8, it was brought to my attention that a barrier consisting of two steel sections welded into "L" profiles with heavy chains securing these profiles to posts either side of the footpath had been erected at the Vicarage Rd end.

I checked this out and what I can only describe as an 'animal gate' has been erected at the Vicarage Rd end of the path, immediately before the steps descending into Vicarage Rd. Of similar construction to sheep gates found on hill paths, all you do to open it is lift the catch keeping the two central vertical posts together and then move the posts to the sides. They are hinged at the bottom so it forms a 'V' shape when opened. It does need two hands to open it but I would have thought it should not present a problem.

I do not know who installed it or whether or not it was requested – our clerk certainly knows nothing about it. Do we leave it or do we need to find out more?

Council decided that the 'animal gate' mentioned in the report, would be left and no action be taken.

Risk Assessment. – Read by Mr. N. Taylor.

See Appendix I.

It was agreed to contact Highways again about the erosion of the road edge by Shrublands Farm
As no action has been taken since May.

Also action needs to be taken on the post and rail fence at the Duck Pond, Hall Drive. Clerk to arrange repair.

Recommended that Tree Warden makes an Annual Inspection of the three trees by the Upper Duck Pond and the two Yew trees by the Duck Pond, Hall Drive.

10 EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Cllr McCormick had forwarded a request for the Council's consideration which was that the Council arrange a meeting late August/early Sept and book the hall, so this could be taken forward.

This meeting would involve all those who offered to be coordinators, plus any other volunteers and as many Councillors as possible

After discussion it was agreed to place this request on the September agenda as Cllr McCormick would be around at that time.

11 CONSIDER APPOINTMENT FOR NEW COUNCILLOR

Council agreed that Cllr.P.Jefford be appointed to the Playing Field Committee.

Proposed Mrs. J. Rose and seconded Mrs. K. Robbins.

12. BEYOND THE GREEN DEVELOPMENT IN BROADLAND.

Reports submitted by Cllr. McCormick, Cllr. Taylor and Mr. S. Piper.

Cllr. N. Taylor.

Monday, 20th June, 14.00 to 17.20, "Parks, Green Spaces, Ecology, Food and Farming"

The list of attendees mainly consisted of officers from BDC, Environment Agency, NCC, Anglia Water, an academic or two and the like, with only Old Catton PC (chairman - who I know as he was in the same business as me and we had a lot of dealings together - David you may know him (David Thompson) - also a BDC councillor and on their planning cttee), parish clerk and another councillor (also known to me from 'hockey' days) and Salhouse PC and a couple of councillors from NCC and BDC (inc John Walchester). Paul Knowles was also there.

The presentation centered on a series of discussion groups in which the attendees were encouraged to participate, but were driven along by invited experts. Following the discussions, or workshops as they were called, there was a presentation of topics raised in the discussions from each of the subjects.

Frankly, no real conclusions were reached as this is a vast subject and the discussions raised more queries than answers. A few salient points were:

Parks, green spaces, ecology

Debate over the need for a large country park, maybe centred around the current Beeston Estate and Rackheath park (outside the area) Felt that this might take some pressure off the broads (the Beyond Green (BGD)chap leading our discussion was of the opinion that 'local people' did not visit the broads !!) ? include water facility for sport such as sailing and canoeing, also wildlife area. Park must not take the place of 'London type squares' etc and all must link together. Management / funding of these parks was an issue but BGD seemed to think that Parish Councils were a good starting point!

Sports facilities discussed and no real conclusion as to whether better to have a single large facility or several dotted about (Norwich school playing field and Norwich Rugby club already within the area could be expanded). Swimming pool discussed but probably too costly.

Food and Farming

Utopia!! There will be gardens for people to grow their own food, allotments and community gardens where the less keen can share tools and resources, smallholdings where farmers can produce crops / livestock for sale in local markets. On a larger scale, hedgerows could be of the productive (edible) type and surrounding farmers could grow crops for use in the community. Interestingly the boffin presenting this felt that the grade 1 agricultural land in Norfolk was not as productive as it could be and despite the building of this community on this type of land its productivity would actually increase!!

Waste water etc - long way to go but intention to minimise rain run off by use of green roofs and harnessing the water for use on the land. Also filtering and reuse of dirty water for similar use.

Interesting chat with Old Catton PC guys who are clearly only interested in supporting Old Catton residents and getting the best for them, and not interested in providing facilities for the surrounding parishes!

Also spoke with John Walchester at length - he tells me that at the moment developers are only putting in detailed application for small developments - dozen houses or so - and the big developers are not in any hurry to put forward plans for large scale development - very costly exercise for them and no guarantee they can sell the houses.

I think the whole concept of the BGD in Broadland is great but being a sceptic, wonder whether people will actually buy into it.

Mr. S. Piper

Monday, 20th June, 10.00 to 13.00, "Generating energy, supplying water and dealing with waste"

The list of attendees mainly consisted of officers from BDC, Environment Agency, NCC, Anglia Water, an academic or two and the like, with only Old Catton PC and Salhouse PC and a couple of councillors from NCC and BDC representing the man in the street.

The presentation centered around a series of discussion groups in which the attendees were encouraged to participate, but were driven along by invited experts. Following the discussions, or workshops as they were called, there was a presentation of topics raised in the discussions from each of the subjects.

Energy.

The general issues surrounding the supply of green energy, using heat pumps, PV cells and other alternative sources were discussed, with little reference to wind farms. The general consensus was that these types of communities, which are being started from scratch, would be ideal for trying out new ideas in smart metering and would have less reliance on the electricity grid. The talk was of encouragement to use less energy generally by having more penal tariffs for higher users of energy but, when energy was abundant or being generated in surplus to local needs, the householder would be able to use more without penalty. The complex algorithms for this type of calculation have, I'm sure, already been worked out at the UEA and we are just waiting for the smart technology to arrive for it all to become a reality.

As a ps, it was recognised that there would be a reliance on electric cars and, hence, charging points which would be on the street as there is only "on street" parking. These charging points would increase the energy demand in themselves.

Supplying water.

Water supply, following the driest Spring for a long time, was an interesting discussion. It was recognised that any new development in this part of East Anglia must have less reliance on potable water supplies and so more emphasis will be placed on the collection, storage and use of cleanish waste and rainwater with talks of a rainwater harvesting ring to collect from around the site and even from adjacent areas. This water could be used for flushing toilets etc, but there will be health issues associated with storage of this type of water. Per capita water usage will need to be reduced to a level that is sustainable, whatever that level is.

Dealing with waste.

Waste, the Cinderella of green discussions was, in the light of North Norfolk's incinerator issues, another charged discussion.

It was recognised that Broadland was an area which recycles well. The need will be for the community built by Beyond Green to be able to generate energy from waste products in order to reduce the amount needed to be disposed of. There was talk of "local" incineration using gas plasma techniques which are of such advanced technology now that they can safely dispose of low level radiated and clinical waste.

Water waste products would be treated locally, possibly recycling the recovered water, and there was talk of a new water treatment facility at Rackheath together with the possibility of pumping to Whitlingham and recycling "green" water back from Whitlingham following treatment. Again the community would be used to try out various exemplar schemes.

On a general note, the community would be encouraged to have less reliance on car usage and it was stated that it is only a fifteen minute cycle ride into Norwich.

There is no rail link planned, but public transport would be adequate for the residents needs.

The community would require MUSCO (Multi User Service Company) input to run the various services and the residents would possibly have to sign up to a code of ethics and join in to help run these services. Almost community involvement by decree.

This is the way that planners are going now, it's either a "brave new world" or "1984 revisited", I'm not sure which.

Mr. C. McCormick.

p.m. Thursday 16th June - Sustainable Prosperity, New Homes and a Great New Place in Broadland

This was the introductory session at which the 'Beyond Green' team introduced themselves and their ideas. As is so often the case, they were all bright young chaps and chapesses from outside the county who had clearly only visited Norwich for the first time recently, and were fairly gushing with enthusiasm for what they saw.

The attendees were mostly representatives of county, district and parish councils (but only from two parishes) and other 'stakeholders', such as NHS and Norwich Airport.

It was admitted first off that this project is in its early conceptual stages and there has been no even outline planning done on this proposal, unlike the Eco-Town. It was interesting to note that, throughout the workshops that I attended, the plans for Rackheath were scarcely mentioned, and then only by oblique reference.

The broad layout of the plan was discussed, and it is obvious that its northern boundary is the NDR, although this is not, apparently, essential to the project. The main point being emphasised was that this proposal is a not a 'build quick and sell quick' project (was this a reference to Rackheath??) but that Beyond Green are in this for the long term. There will be higher up-front costs and a longer 'transition gap' (ie. the period in which the developer disposes of his interest and gets his money back). How will this be achieved? Through retaining a greater proportion of properties for rent or shared equity; through producing and controlling the supply of energy and other services; through retaining a stake in the development and not rushing to sell. How would this be funded? Through 'landowner legacy', which I take to mean they will not buy the land outright from the landowners, but will somehow pay for it over a longer period as the project develops; by the sequencing of infrastructure; by utilising a local supply chain; and by encouraging business by charging turnover-based rentals (ie. charging businesses what they can afford to help them get going, increasing the rent as they grow).

One of the statements made in the opening blurb was that they felt that people in Norwich were disappointed with previous developments (Dussindale, Thorpe Marriott?). This led yours truly to ask the question, will the schools and medical facilities, etc be put in place at an early stage, because the late delivery of these facilities was one of the major complaints about previous schemes? The answer was that of course this was a priority, and maybe they would make temporary provisions at the start, moving into bigger purpose -built facilities as the population grew. This did not seem to be a very convincing answer.

The workshop sessions which followed also seemed to reflect a similar degree of cynicism from those not involved or standing to benefit from the project.

In conclusion, a very slick presentation from very enthusiastic presenters, but a plan which needs a lot more thinking about - which, in fairness, is what these workshops are about.

a.m. Friday 17th June - Creating Jobs and Delivering Prosperity

It was obvious that the attendees for this, the first 'real' workshop session, were a different group to the opening session, being more professional planners, design consultants, etc., very few 'lay' people. It is interesting to note that Paul Knowles was there. His badge did not identify him with the name of any company or organisation that he may have been representing.

The discussion went rapidly away from the issues surrounding the proposed new town, and got into discussing Norwich and Norfolk as a whole, and the reasons why people would want to live and work here. Any discussion that starts with saying that Norwich is a wonderful place because you can get to London in less than 2 hours is off to a bad start in my opinion. The main observation seemed to be that people live and work in Norwich because they like it, either because they were born here, they came here and did not want to leave, or they came here for a holiday and decided it would be a nice place to set up a business (Richard Branson is an example of this, apparently). Norwich has the highest percentage (40%) of 'graduate retention' (students who come here and never leave) in the country.

The view about Norwich's image is that, rather than having a bad image, it does not have much of a place at all in the British public perception, once you get beyond Alan Partridge and the 'very flat, Norfolk' images. The only industry for which Norwich is renowned nationally is Financial Services; the UEA science park does not make the grade when compared to Cambridge, apparently.

The discussion went, in my opinion, rather round in circles in relation to actual employment on the site of the new town, and a lot of the arguments that we have heard before in relation to Rackheath, about how to create jobs on site, without the need for people to travel, were repeated here, without any obvious conclusion on the means of delivery. This prompted yours truly to ask another question, have they taken into account the fact that most households have two workers, it is unlikely both will find jobs on-site, so at least one will have to travel off-site to work, this will still require at least one car per household. By the response, no-one had apparently thought about this. The other unanswered question was, as always, which comes first, the people or the jobs?

In conclusion, not such an enlightening debate as the might have been hoped, and no obvious conclusions.

13 BROADLAND D.C.FORERUNNER MEETINGS.

There has been one meeting, of a general nature, attended by Mr. R. Cooper, Mr. C. McCormick and Ms P. Gallanders (Advisory committee) where they met with other councils which had been invited. Another meeting was arranged for August 11th, when more councils will be attending and an opportunity to meet their representatives will be available. It is felt that these meetings are very important for the village and I urge all councillors to attend this one on August 11th.

14. BROADLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL BRIEFING SESSIONS.

Introduction to Community Led Planning, was subject for the meeting of 20th July.

Broadland District Council thanked everybody for attending and are very grateful for the feedback received from the meeting of 6th July. Feedback from this meeting (20th) would be most welcome. Planning Regulations were issued but it is understood that these change on a regular basis so therefore are not set in stone. Councils were encouraged to hold various discussions, in a variety of ways. Coffee meetings, work parties, were mentioned.

Attended by:- Mr. Cooper, Mrs. Robbins, Mr. Moralee and Ms P. Gallanders (Advisory Committee)

15. AGREE DATE FOR SEPTEMBER MEETING.

Council agreed the date of the September meeting would be the 7th. Clerk to forward information. *Proposed by T. Moralee and seconded by S. Simpson.*

16. DISCUSS MOVING PARISH COUNCIL MEETING DAY.

Council having held the previous meeting on a Tuesday, found it to be quieter than a Wednesday (when they currently hold their meetings) Discussion took place and it was agreed that Mr. Jefford would enquire at the Salhouse School regarding Thursday evenings. To be placed on the next Agenda.

17 AGREE PARISH COUNCIL MEETINGS FOR 2012.

As there were various issues which needed to be addressed, this item also was moved to the September agenda.

18. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

This was progressing, but could not be completed until a discussion held with the Clerk. This was arranged for the 22nd August 2011.

19. DIAMOND JUBILEE CELEBRATIONS

It was agreed that the village would celebrate in some way, Street Party was suggested with a function at the Jubilee Hall later that evening.. To enquire about a licence and a date would be agreed at the working party meeting. It might also be possible to hold the Street Party in an area where no licence was needed.

20. ANNUAL PARISH MEETING MINUTES.

Clerk was sorry that they had not been completed owing to work load in that particular period of time but would endeavour to have them ready by the Sept/October meeting.

21 CONTRACTORS USE OF ACCESS AT 6,MILL ROAD.

It was decided that the only action to be taken was to make Norfolk Highways aware of use of old access

22. BI-MONTHLY PARISH COUNCIL NEWSLETTER

It was agreed that bi-monthly newsletter would be beneficial to the parish especially in light of the Broadland District Council Forerunner meetings and the volume of Consultations that were being undertaken.

Councillor N. Taylor asked at this point if money was available to produce this newsletter as although Broadland District Council will be reimbursing costs for use on such projects, this is not forthcoming until the project is up and running.

Responsible Finance Officer suggested that it be transferred from the Projects fund and later when funds are made available a separate account would be held for this project. (Advertising) Council agreed a sum of £650.00. Proposed by Mrs. Robbins and seconded by Mr. Hastings. All agreed.

23. ALLOTMENTS

Clerk explained that firstly the Council needs to establish a need by asking the residents their requirements , following up by approaching Landowners in the area if land was available for this use.

It was agreed that if space permitted items like Allotments and other notifications to the residents would also be included in the Bi-monthly newsletter.

24. JUBILEE HALL

Letter would be forwarded to Jubilee Hall requesting details of meeting dates (for our representative), copies of their Annual General Meeting minutes and accounts. There now appears to be doubt over Trustees for the Jubilee Hall.

25. COUNCILLORS INPUT

25.1 Mrs. Rose reported that speeding still continues on Cheyney Avenue and parking on footways becoming a problem. Resident had suggested speed bumps, but these will not be considered.

25.2 Councillor Piper stated that fines are now being carried out for parking on footways, even half and half. Also reported that he had approached Norwich Airport Authorities for an explanation of why an aircraft was continually circling over Salhouse. Reply received which was given to the Clerk for filing. Copy available from the Clerk upon request.

25.3 Mr. Cooper explained that there was a thin part to the hedge in Thieves Lane, surrounding the Recreation Ground and as this could be dangerous if a child unexpectedly came through it onto the Lane, he was trying to obtain items to block this hole. Ms.P.Gallanders offered a piece of wire covered Green plastic galvanized fencing. Mr. Cooper thanked her for the offer and arrangements for this item would be confirmed later.

- 25.4 Mr. P. Jefford stated that the hedge needed trimming back. Chairman stated that entrance had been trimmed back and the contracted trimming would be carried out shortly.
- 25.5 Mr. Taylor reported that garden trimmings are being left in the hedge.
- 25.6 Mrs. Rose reported an increase in dog fouling in the Children's Play area. Item on dog fouling could be included in the bi-annual letter if there was space.
- 25.7 Clerk reported that Mr. Peter Green reported a large quantity of stones left after repair work carried out by S.M.P. Councillors would go and check area.
- 26. PARKED ITEMS (on going items , awaiting response)
DIRECTIONAL SIGNAGE FROM SALHOUSE BROAD.**
This seemed to have slipped off the agenda and will be replaced.
- 26.1 **Notice board at the Post Office.**
Clerk explained that the Notice board had been removed from this item, as it had been agreed that Mr. Mitchell would remove the original board from the Playing Field, replace the doors with one door which could be lifted up, and replace it at the Post Office location. The temporary board, in the keeping of Mr. Paul Green would be replaced and notices screwed to it.
- Suspend Standing Orders.*
- 27. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**
- 27.1. **Mr. Fielder (P.C.C.)** asked the council for a donation of approximate £50 -100 to assist with the cost of clearing rabbits from the Churchyard, which are doing lots of damage.
Responsible Finance officer reminded council that the Budgeted figure agreed for the Church, the use of which had been explained to Rev.Lorma Allies, would have enough residues to cover this cost and recommended council to agree to this request. All agreed.
Mr. Fielder also mentioned about the green Algae on the Upper Pond and its dangerous implications. Council agreed that posters would be placed there the next day.
- 27.2 **Team Salhouse** reported (by Mrs. J. Blake) that they had been watering and tidying up the beds. Grass cutting was much improved about the Village and litter picks continue.
- Mrs. J. Blake** asked "are bicycles allowed on footpaths?", as she had experienced a bicycle with trailer for carrying a child (full), together with a further child on a bicycle, bearing down on her whilst walking. When challenged politely, the usual abuse was received Mrs. Blake was informed that she was within her rights to ask the riders to dismount and walk.
It was agreed that this was another matter that could be included in the bi-annual newsletter.
- 27.3 **District Councillor S.Buckle**, reported that Broadland District Council were now operating a scheme for "Debt Advice", covering Rent and Mortgage and Council Tax Arrears, also Credit Card and Loan repayments. Contact is Suzie Payne. 01603 430147.
Also help is available to enable occupiers to stay in their own homes. Contact Rhiannon Mullen, 01603 430638.
- 27.4 **Norfolk Constabulary** report, read by the Clerk. 24th June – July 2011.
- 1 - Burglary other than dwelling 26-27.06.2011 Low Road, garage entered and items removed.
2 - Arson not endangering life, 06/07/2011 Norwich Road, wheelie bin caught fire.
3 - Domestic 17/07/02011
4 - Domestic 18/07.2011
5 - Theft 11 – 15/07/2011 Wood Green, metal stolen from property.

Resume Standing Orders

28. S.L.C.C.MEETING (Sept: 14th, Dunstan Hall, Norwich.)
Members £85.00, Non-members £65.00
Clerks request to attend was granted.
29. DATE OF NEXT MEETING.
It was agreed that the date of next meeting would be 7th September 2011
At this point meeting was closed to the public 9.58pm.

.....

Confidential.-Council in session.

30. STAFFING MATTERS – Parish Clerk.
Chairman & Vice Chairman wished to arrange a date for a meeting with the Clerk.
This was agreed as Friday 19th August 2011, at 5.30 pm.

Chairman thanked all for coming and closed the meeting at 10.30 pm.